

2015-2016 Annual Assessment Report Template

For instructions and guidelines visit our [website](#)
or [contact us](#) for more help.

Report: MS Geology

Question 1: Program Learning Outcomes

Q1.1.

Which of the following Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals (BLGs) **did you assess?** [Check all that apply]

- ☐ 1. Critical Thinking
- ☐ 2. Information Literacy
- ☐ 3. Written Communication
- ☐ 4. Oral Communication
- ☐ 5. Quantitative Literacy
- ☐ 6. Inquiry and Analysis
- ☐ 7. Creative Thinking
- ☐ 8. Reading
- ☐ 9. Team Work
- ☐ 10. Problem Solving
- ☐ 11. Civic Knowledge and Engagement
- ☐ 12. Intercultural Knowledge and Competency
- ☐ 13. Ethical Reasoning
- ☐ 14. Foundations and Skills for Lifelong Learning
- ☐ 15. Global Learning
- ☐ 16. Integrative and Applied Learning
- ☐ 17. Overall Competencies for GE Knowledge
- ☐ 18. Overall Competencies in the Major/Discipline
- ☐ 19. Other, specify any assessed PLOs not included above:

- a.
- b.
- c.

Q1.2.

Please provide more detailed background information about **EACH PLO** you checked above and other information such as how your specific PLOs are **explicitly** linked to the Sac State BLGs:

The Geology MS program restarted in 2015. We are in the process of developing an assessment plan, which is attached. No data were collected this year. See question 6 for more detail.

Q1.2.1.

Do you have rubrics for your PLOs?

- ☐ 1. Yes, for all PLOs
- ☒ 2. Yes, but for some PLOs
- ☐ 3. No rubrics for PLOs
- ☐ 4. N/A
- ☐ 5. Other, specify:

Q1.3.

Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university?

- ☒ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

Q1.4.

Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC Senior College and University Commission (WSCUC))?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☒ 2. No (skip to **Q1.5**)
- ☐ 3. Don't know (skip to **Q1.5**)

Q1.4.1.

If the answer to Q1.4 is **yes**, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

Q1.5.

Did your program use the *Degree Qualification Profile* (DQP) to develop your PLO(s)?

- ☒ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No, but I know what the DQP is
- ☐ 3. No, I don't know what the DQP is
- ☐ 4. Don't know

Q1.6.

Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable?

- ☒ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 2: Standard of Performance for the Selected PLO

Q2.1.

Select **ONE(1)** PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you *checked the correct box* for this PLO in Q1.1):

Select PLO from list

Q2.1.1.

Please provide more background information about the **specific PLO** you've chosen in Q2.1.

See Q1.2.

Q2.2.

Has the program developed or adopted **explicit** standards of performance for this PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know
- ☐ 4. N/A

Q2.3.

Please **provide the rubric(s) and standards of performance** that you have developed for this PLO here or in the appendix.

 No file attached

 No file attached

Q2.4. PLO	Q2.5. Stdrd	Q2.6. Rubric	Please indicate where you have published the PLO , the standard of performance, and the rubric that was used to measure the PLO:
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. In the student handbook/advising handbook
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. In the university catalogue
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources, or activities
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation documents
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	10. Other, specify: <input type="text"/>

Question 3: Data Collection Methods and Evaluation of Data Quality for the Selected PLO

Q3.1.

Was assessment data/evidence **collected** for the selected PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No (skip to **Q6**)
- ☐ 3. Don't know (skip to **Q6**)
- ☐ 4. N/A (skip to **Q6**)

Q3.1.1.

How many assessment tools/methods/measures **in total** did you use to assess this PLO?

Q3.2.

Was the data **scored/evaluated** for this PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No (skip to **Q6**)
- ☐ 3. Don't know (skip to **Q6**)
- ☐ 4. N/A (skip to **Q6**)

Q3.2.1.

Please describe how you collected the assessment data for the selected PLO. For example, in what course(s) or by what means were data collected:

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 3A: Direct Measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, etc.)

Q3.3.

Were direct measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, course work, student tests, etc.) used to assess this PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No (skip to **Q3.7**)
- ☐ 3. Don't know (skip to **Q3.7**)

Q3.3.1.

Which of the following direct measures were used? [Check all that apply]

- ☐ 1. Capstone project (e.g. theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences
- ☐ 2. Key assignments from required classes in the program
- ☐ 3. Key assignments from elective classes
- ☐ 4. Classroom based performance assessment such as simulations, comprehensive exams, or critiques
- ☐ 5. External performance assessments such as internships or other community-based projects

☐ 6. E-Portfolios

☐ 7. Other Portfolios

☐ 8. Other, specify:

Q3.3.2.

Please **explain** and **attach** the direct measure you used to collect data:



No file attached



No file attached

Q3.4.

What tool was used to evaluate the data?

- ☐ 1. **No** rubric is used to interpret the evidence (skip to **Q3.4.4.**)
- ☐ 2. Used rubric developed/modified by the faculty who teaches the class (skip to **Q3.4.2.**)
- ☐ 3. Used rubric developed/modified by a group of faculty (skip to **Q3.4.2.**)
- ☐ 4. Used rubric pilot-tested and refined by a group of faculty (skip to **Q3.4.2.**)
- ☐ 5. The VALUE rubric(s) (skip to **Q3.4.2.**)
- ☐ 6. Modified VALUE rubric(s) (skip to **Q3.4.2.**)
- ☐ 7. Used other means (Answer **Q3.4.1.**)

Q3.4.1.

If you used other means, which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply]

- ☐ 1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams (skip to **Q3.4.4.**)
- ☐ 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to **Q3.4.4.**)
- ☐ 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to **Q3.4.4.**)
- ☐ 4. Other, specify: (skip to **Q3.4.4.**)

Q3.4.2.

Was the **rubric** aligned directly and explicitly **with the PLO**?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know
- ☐ 4. N/A

Q3.4.3.

Was the **direct measure** (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly **with the rubric**?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know
- ☐ 4. N/A

Q3.4.4.

Was the **direct measure** (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly **with the PLO**?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know
- ☐ 4. N/A

Q3.5.

How many faculty members participated in planning the assessment data **collection** of the selected PLO?

Q3.5.1.

How many faculty members participated in the **evaluation** of the assessment data for the selected PLO?

Q3.5.2.

If the data was evaluated by multiple scorers, was there a norming process (a procedure to make sure everyone was scoring similarly)?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know
- ☐ 4. N/A

Q3.6.

How did you **select** the sample of student work (papers, projects, portfolios, etc.)?

Q3.6.1.

How did you **decide** how many samples of student work to review?

Q3.6.2.

How many students were in the class or program?

Q3.6.3.

How many samples of student work did you evaluated?

Q3.6.4.

Was the sample size of student work for the direct measure adequate?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 3B: Indirect Measures (surveys, focus groups, interviews, etc.)

Q3.7.

Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No (skip to **Q3.8**)
- ☐ 3. Don't Know (skip to **Q3.8**)

Q3.7.1.

Which of the following indirect measures were used? [Check all that apply]

- ☐ 1. National student surveys (e.g. NSSE)
- ☐ 2. University conducted student surveys (e.g. OIR)
- ☐ 3. College/department/program student surveys or focus groups
- ☐ 4. Alumni surveys, focus groups, or interviews
- ☐ 5. Employer surveys, focus groups, or interviews
- ☐ 6. Advisory board surveys, focus groups, or interviews
- ☐ 7. Other, specify:

Q3.7.1.1.

Please explain and attach the indirect measure you used to collect data:



No file attached



No file attached

Q3.7.2.

If surveys were used, how was the sample size **decided**?

Q3.7.3.

If surveys were used, how did you **select** your sample:

Q3.7.4.

If surveys were used, what was the response rate?

Question 3C: Other Measures (external benchmarking, licensing exams, standardized tests, etc.)

Q3.8.

Were external benchmarking data, such as licensing exams or standardized tests, used to assess the PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No (skip to **Q3.8.2**)
- ☐ 3. Don't Know (skip to **Q3.8.2**)

Q3.8.1.

Which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply]

- ☐ 1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams
- ☐ 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.)
- ☐ 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.)
- ☐ 4. Other, specify:


Q3.8.2.

Were other measures used to assess the PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No (skip to **Q4.1**)
- ☐ 3. Don't know (skip to **Q4.1**)

Q3.8.3.

If other measures were used, please specify:



 No file attached No file attached

(Remember: Save your progress)

Question 4: Data, Findings, and Conclusions


Q4.1.

Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summarize the assessment data, findings, and conclusions for the selected PLO for **Q2.1**:

 No file attached No file attached

Q4.2.

Are students doing well and meeting the program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student performance of the selected PLO?

 No file attached No file attached

Q4.3.

For the selected PLO, the student performance:

- ☐ 1. **Exceeded** expectation/standard
- ☐ 2. **Met** expectation/standard
- ☐ 3. **Partially** met expectation/standard
- ☐ 4. Did not meet expectation/standard
- ☐ 5. No expectation/standard has been specified
- ☐ 6. Don't know

Question 4A: Alignment and Quality

Q4.4.

Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

Q4.5.

Were **all** the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

Question 5: Use of Assessment Data (Closing the Loop)

Q5.1.

As a result of the assessment effort and based on prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate *making any changes* for your program (e.g. course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No (skip to **Q5.2**)
- ☐ 3. Don't know (skip to **Q5.2**)

Q5.1.1.

Please describe *what changes* you plan to make in your program as a result of your assessment of this PLO. Include a description of how you plan to assess the impact of these changes.

Q5.1.2.

Do you have a plan to assess the *impact of the changes* that you anticipate making?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

Q5.2.

How have the assessment data from the last annual assessment been used so far? [**Check all that apply**]

	1. Very Much	2. Quite a Bit	3. Some	4. Not at All	5. N/A
1. Improving specific courses	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
2. Modifying curriculum	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
3. Improving advising and mentoring	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
6. Developing/updating assessment plan	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
7. Annual assessment reports	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
8. Program review	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
9. Prospective student and family information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
10. Alumni communication	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
11. WSCUC accreditation (regional accreditation)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
12. Program accreditation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
13. External accountability reporting requirement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
15. Strategic planning	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
16. Institutional benchmarking	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
17. Academic policy development or modifications	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
18. Institutional improvement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
19. Resource allocation and budgeting	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
20. New faculty hiring	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
21. Professional development for faculty and staff	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
22. Recruitment of new students	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

23. Other, specify:

Q5.2.1.

Please provide a detailed example of how you used the assessment data above:


(Remember: Save your progress)

Additional Assessment Activities

Q6.

Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspect of their program *that are not related to the PLOs* (i.e. impacts of an advising center, etc.). **If** your program/academic unit has collected data on program *elements*, please briefly report your results here:

Over the course of 2015-2016, the department Graduate Committee developed Program Learning Goals and associated PLOs. We also modified VALUE rubrics for reading, writing, oral communication, and analysis, to measure PLOs. We mapped out which courses will address the various PLOs and included that in our assessment plan. We are in the process of determining the standard of performance. In the fall we will decide which PLOs to assess next year.


 No file attached


 No file attached
Q7.

What PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year? [**Check all that apply**]

- ☐ 1. Critical Thinking
- ☐ 2. Information Literacy
- ☐ 3. Written Communication
- ☐ 4. Oral Communication
- ☐ 5. Quantitative Literacy
- ☐ 6. Inquiry and Analysis
- ☐ 7. Creative Thinking
- ☐ 8. Reading
- ☐ 9. Team Work
- ☐ 10. Problem Solving
- ☐ 11. Civic Knowledge and Engagement
- ☐ 12. Intercultural Knowledge and Competency
- ☐ 13. Ethical Reasoning
- ☐ 14. Foundations and Skills for Lifelong Learning
- ☐ 15. Global Learning
- ☐ 16. Integrative and Applied Learning
- ☐ 17. Overall Competencies for GE Knowledge
- ☐ 18. Overall Competencies in the Major/Discipline
- ☐ 19. Other, specify any PLOs not included above:

- a.
- b.
- c.

Q8. Please attach any additional files here:
 No file attached

 No file attached

 No file attached

 No file attached
Q8.1.

Have you attached any files to this form? If yes, please list every attached file here:

Assessment plan (which also contains the curriculum map and rubrics)

Program Information (Required)

P1.

Program/Concentration Name(s): [by degree]

Select...

P1.1.

Program/Concentration Name(s): [by department]

Geology MS

P2.

Report Author(s):

Amelia Paukert

P2.1.

Department Chair/Program Director:

Tim Horner/Kevin Cornwell

P2.2.

Assessment Coordinator:

Judi Kusnick

P3.

Department/Division/Program of Academic Unit

Geology

P4.

College:

College of Natural Science & Mathematics

P5.

Total enrollment for Academic Unit during assessment semester (see Departmental Fact Book):

N/A

P6.

Program Type:

- ☐ 1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major
- ☐ 2. Credential
- ☒ 3. Master's Degree
- ☐ 4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.D./Ed.S./D.P.T./etc.)
- ☐ 5. Other, specify:

P7. Number of undergraduate degree programs the academic unit has?

3

P7.1. List all the names:

BS Geology

BA Geology

BA Earth Science

P7.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program?

0

P8. Number of **master's degree programs** the academic unit has?

1

P8.1. List all the names:

MS Geology

P8.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master's program?

0

P9. Number of **credential programs** the academic unit has?

0

P9.1. List all the names:

P10. Number of **doctorate degree programs** the academic unit has?

0

P10.1. List all the names:

When was your assessment plan ...	1. Before 2010-11	2. 2011-12	3. 2012-13	4. 2013-14	5. 2014-15	6. No Plan	7. Don't know
P11. developed?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
P11.1. last updated?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

P11.3.Please attach your latest **assessment plan**:Geology Department Assessment Plan.docx
72.48 KB**P12.**Has your program developed a **curriculum map**?

- ☒ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

P12.1.Please attach your latest **curriculum map**:

No file attached

P13.Has your program indicated in the curriculum map where assessment **of student learning** occurs?

- ☐ 1. Yes
- ☒ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

P14.

Does your program have a capstone class?

- ☒ 1. Yes, indicate:
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

P14.1.Does your program have **any** capstone project?

- ☒ 1. Yes
- ☐ 2. No
- ☐ 3. Don't know

(**Remember:** Save your progress)

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

OGS Goals	Geology Program Learning Goals	Program Learning Outcomes	Measure	Eval. Tools	Stan. of perform.	When	Who
Disciplinary knowledge	Students will be able to read and digest complex scientific papers in the discipline, assess competing hypotheses and reach rational and logical conclusions.	1a) Evaluates the scholarly significance and relevance within and beyond the discipline 1b) Recognizes possible implications of the text for contexts, perspectives, or issues beyond the assigned task 1c) Compares and evaluates multiple and diverse sources and viewpoints according to specific criteria appropriate for the discipline. 1d) Articulates an understanding of the multiple interpretive possibilities particular to a text.	1) Instructor assesses and evaluates in-class presentations and discussions using detailed rubric for standardized evaluations. 2) Instructor evaluates written responses from students. 3) GEOL596 (Cumulative exit exam)	Reading, writing and oral rubrics	Advanced, Proficient and Beginning	See Course Map	Instructor
Critical thinking / analysis	Students will be able to evaluate and interpret real-world data sets and use discipline-specific analytical tools to generate insight into discipline specific geologic problems.	2a) Uses specific inductive or deductive reasoning to make inferences regarding premises. 2b) Thoroughly identifies and addresses key aspects of the problem, 2c) Insightfully uses facts and relevant evidence from analysis to support and defend potentially valid solutions.	1) Instructor assesses and evaluates the strength and detail of the technical reports using a detailed rubric.	Analysis rubric	Advanced, Proficient and Beginning	See Course Map	Instructor
Communication	Students will develop presentation skills and the ability to relay technical data and scientific concepts to diverse audiences.	3a) Main points are clear and organized effectively and support a clear purpose. 3b) Language is familiar to the audience and appropriate for the setting. 3c) The delivery is natural, confident, and enhances the message - posture, eye contact, smooth gestures, facial expressions, volume, and pace.	1) Instructor assesses the student's knowledge of topics, clarity of discussion and connection and engagement of the audience in classroom presentations and thesis edits.	Writing and oral rubrics	Advanced, Proficient and Beginning	See Course Map	Instructor

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

Information literacy	Students will demonstrate the ability to obtain, assess, and analyze information from a variety of sources	4a) Students compare and evaluate multiple and diverse sources and viewpoints according to specific criteria appropriate to the discipline. 4b) Effectively synthesizes and integrates information from a variety of sources.	1) Instructor assesses student's abilities to make information literacy decisions using a detailed rubric.	Writing Rubric	Advanced, Proficient and Beginning	See Course Map	Instructor
Professional -ism	Students will demonstrate an understanding of professional integrity	5a) Students consistently and accurately cite ideas and information of others correctly in written and oral exercises. 5b) Students are properly attired and present clear and cogent presentations to audience in oral exercises.	1) Instructor assesses these outcomes using detailed rubrics	Writing and oral rubrics	Advanced, Proficient and Beginning	See Course Map	Instructor
Intercultural / global perspectives	Students will demonstrate relevant knowledge and application of intercultural and / or global perspectives.	6a) Insightfully relates concepts and ideas from multiple sources and across geographic regions relative to geologic processes and hazards. 6b) Evaluates the scholarly significance and relevance within and beyond the discipline and geographic region.	1) Instructor assesses this outcome using detailed rubrics	Reading and analysis rubrics	Advanced, Proficient and Beginning	See Course Map	Instructor

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

Program Learning Goals

The Geology Department has six Program Learning Goals (PLG's) that closely parallel the Office of Graduate Studies PLG's. The Geology Department goals are outlined as follows:

1. Students will be able to read and digest complex scientific papers in the discipline, assess competing hypotheses and reach rational and logical conclusions.
2. Students will be able to evaluate and interpret real-world data sets and use discipline-specific analytical tools to generate insight into discipline specific geologic problems.
3. Students will develop presentation skills and the ability to relay technical data and scientific concepts to diverse audiences.
4. Students will demonstrate the ability to obtain, assess, and analyze information from a variety of sources
5. Students will demonstrate an understanding of professional integrity
6. Students will demonstrate relevant knowledge and application of intercultural and / or global perspectives.

Program Learning Outcomes

These overall program learning goals are assessed throughout our graduate curriculum through a series of Program Learning Outcomes (PLO's). The PLO's are outlined below for each of the PLG's.

PLG 1 - Students will be able to read and digest complex scientific papers in the discipline, assess competing hypotheses and reach rational and logical conclusions.

PLO 1 - 1a) Evaluates the scholarly significance and relevance within and beyond the discipline.

1b) Recognizes possible implications of the text for contexts, perspectives, or issues beyond the assigned task.

1c) Compares and evaluates multiple and diverse sources and viewpoints according to specific criteria appropriate for the discipline.

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

1d) Articulates an understanding of the multiple interpretive possibilities particular to a text.

PLG 2 - Students will be able to evaluate and interpret real-world data sets and use discipline-specific analytical tools to generate insight into discipline specific geologic problems.

PLO 2 - 2a) Uses specific inductive or deductive reasoning to make inferences regarding premises.

2b) Thoroughly identifies and addresses key aspects of the problem.

2c) Insightfully uses facts and relevant evidence from analysis to support and defend potentially valid solutions.

PLG 3 - Students will develop presentation skills and the ability to relay technical data and scientific concepts to diverse audiences.

PLO 3 - 3a) Main points are clear and organized effectively and support a clear purpose.

3b) Language is familiar to the audience and appropriate for the setting.

3c) The delivery is natural, confident, and enhances the message - posture, eye contact, smooth gestures, facial expressions, volume, and pace.

PLG 4 - Students will demonstrate the ability to obtain, assess, and analyze information from a variety of sources.

PLO 4 - 4a) Students compare and evaluate multiple and diverse sources and viewpoints according to specific criteria appropriate to the discipline.

4b) Effectively synthesizes and integrates information from a variety of sources.

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

PLG 5 - Students will demonstrate an understanding of professional integrity

PLO 5 - 5a) Students consistently and accurately cite ideas and information of others correctly in written and oral exercises.

5b) Students are properly attired and present clear and cogent presentations to audience in oral exercises.

PLG 6 - Students will demonstrate relevant knowledge and application of intercultural and / or global perspectives.

PLO 6 - 6a) Insightfully relates concepts and ideas from multiple sources and across geographic regions relative to geologic processes and hazards.

6b) Evaluates the scholarly significance and relevance within and beyond the discipline and geographic region.

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

Curriculum Map of Graduate Geology Courses

The curriculum map that follows, outlines where in the graduate program the 6 PLG's are evaluated. Courses GEOL200, GEOL275 and GEOL290 are core, required classes for all students advancing through the M.S. Geology program. All students who successfully navigate the Program will either complete a master's thesis (GEOL500) or take the comprehensive exam (GEOL596).

COURSE	COURSE TITLE	PLG 1	PLG 2	PLG 3	PLG 4	PLG 5	PLG 6
Required							
GEOL200	Research Methods	X	X	X		X	X
GEOL275	Quantitative Research Methods	X	X	X	X		
GEOL290	Regional Geology of the Western US	X	X	X	X	X	
Electives							
GEOL202	Aqueous Geochemistry	X	X	X	X	X	
GEOL208	Groundwater Modeling	X	X	X	X	X	
GEOL212	Geologic Remote Imaging	X		X	X	X	X
GEOL213	Advanced Structural Geology	X	X	X	X	X	X
GEOL218	Applied Geophysics	X	X	X	X		
GEOL220	Surficial Processes	X	X	X	X	X	X
GEOL227	Advanced Hydrogeology	X	X	X	X	X	X
GEOL240C	Advanced Volcanology	X		X	X	X	X
GEOL500	Masters Thesis	X	X	X	X	X	X
GEOL596	Comprehensive Examination	X	X	X	X		

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

Assessment Plan

The M.S. Geology program is just getting underway (Fall, 2015) after being administratively closed for several years. With the construction of this assessment plan, Geology will begin collecting assessment data in the Fall 2016 semester. Pending the continued development of assessment at the graduate level, it is anticipated that the M.S. Geology program collect assessment data every year that classes are held and will review and assess those data at least once every five years.

Assessment Tools

The Geology Graduate Program has developed four different assessment rubrics to be used in the overall evaluation of the program. Those rubrics are reading, writing, oral presentation and analysis and ultimately address all six of the PLG's. Each rubric consists of three standard of performance levels (beginner (1), proficient (3) and advanced (5)) that will be assessed for each student on each rubric required activity. Numerical values are assigned to each standard of performance which allows the grader some range within each performance standard. Within the rubric are descriptions for each level of performance that assessors will look for. For example, when determining the problem solving skills in the analysis rubric of a student, the difference between the advanced, proficient and beginning standards are as follows:

- Advanced - **thoroughly** identifies and addresses key aspects of the problem,
insightfully uses facts and relevant evidence from analysis to support and defend potentially valid solutions.
- Proficient - identifies and addresses key aspects of the problem,
uses facts and relevant evidence from analysis to develop potentially valid conclusions or solutions.
- Beginning - identifies and addresses **some** aspects of the problem;
develops possible conclusions or solutions **using some inappropriate** opinions and information from analysis.

The four assessment rubrics are located in Appendix A of this report.

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

Lines of Evidence

Direct lines of evidence will ultimately be used to reach assessment decisions regarding program effectiveness. The Geology Department is always open to indirect assessments that come our way regarding the effectiveness of the program but with the exception of occasional class queries will not be a primary source for assessment data.

Program Learning Outcomes	Direct	Indirect
1a) Evaluates the scholarly significance and relevance within and beyond the discipline 1b) Recognizes possible implications of the text for contexts, perspectives, or issues beyond the assigned task 1c) Compares and evaluates multiple and diverse sources and viewpoints according to specific criteria appropriate for the discipline. 1d) Articulates an understanding of the multiple interpretive possibilities particular to a text.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reading and presentation assignments in core and elective courses 	Possible mid-course assessments Alumni surveys
2a) Uses specific inductive or deductive reasoning to make inferences regarding premises. 2b) Thoroughly identifies and addresses key aspects of the problem, 2c) Insightfully uses facts and relevant evidence from analysis to support and defend potentially valid solutions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analytical assignments in elective courses. G-500 thesis G-596 comprehensive exam 	Possible mid-course assessments Alumni surveys
3a) Main points are clear and organized effectively and support a clear purpose. 3b) Language is familiar to the audience and appropriate for the setting. 3c) The delivery is natural, confident, and enhances the message - posture, eye contact, smooth gestures, facial expressions, volume, and pace.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation assignments in core and elective courses Thesis defense 	Possible mid-course assessments Alumni surveys

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

<p>4a) Students compare and evaluate multiple and diverse sources and viewpoints according to specific criteria appropriate to the discipline.</p> <p>4b) Effectively synthesizes and integrates information from a variety of sources.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reading, writing and presentation assignments in core and elective courses 	<p>Possible mid-course assessments</p> <p>Alumni surveys</p>
<p>5a) Students consistently and accurately cite ideas and information of others correctly in written and oral exercises.</p> <p>5b) Students are properly attired and present clear and cogent presentations to audience in oral exercises.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Writing assignments • Thesis writing and culminating exam • Presentation assignments in core and elective courses • Thesis defense 	<p>Possible mid-course assessments</p> <p>Alumni surveys</p>
<p>6a) Insightfully relates concepts and ideas from multiple sources and across geographic regions relative to geologic processes and hazards.</p> <p>6b) Evaluates the scholarly significance and relevance within and beyond the discipline and geographic region.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presentation assignments in core and elective assignments • Writing assignments in core and elective classes 	<p>Possible mid-course assessments</p> <p>Alumni surveys</p>

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

Appendix A: Rubrics

ANALYSIS AND CRITICAL THINKING RUBRIC

Performance Element	Advanced (5)	Proficient (3)	Beginning (1)	Score (5-0)
Communication	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies the main idea or problem with numerous supporting details / examples which are organized logically and coherently. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies the main idea or problem with some supporting details and examples in an organized manner. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies the main idea or problem with few details or examples in a somewhat organized manner. 	
Analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses specific inductive or deductive reasoning to make inferences regarding premises; addresses implications and consequences; identifies facts and information correctly. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses logical reasoning to make inferences regarding solutions; addresses implications and consequences; Identifies facts and relevant information correctly. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses superficial reasoning to make inferences regarding solutions; Shows some confusion regarding facts, opinions, and relevant, evidence, data, or information. 	
Problem Solving	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Thoroughly identifies and addresses key aspects of the problem, insightfully uses facts and relevant evidence from analysis to support and defend potentially valid solutions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies and addresses key aspects of the problem, uses facts and relevant evidence from analysis to develop potentially valid conclusions or solutions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifies and addresses some aspects of the problem; develops possible conclusions or solutions using some inappropriate opinions and information from analysis. 	
Evaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insightfully interprets data or information; identifies obvious as well as hidden assumptions, establishes credibility of sources on points other than authority alone, distinguishes appropriate arguments from extraneous elements; provides sufficient logical support. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accurately interprets data or information; identifies obvious assumptions, establishes credibility of sources on points other than authority alone, distinguishes appropriate arguments from extraneous elements; provides sufficient logical support. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Makes some errors in data or information interpretation; makes arguments using weak evidence; exhibits some fallacies in reasoning; provides superficial support for conclusions or solutions. 	
Synthesis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insightfully relates concepts and ideas from multiple sources and across geographic regions; uses new information to enhance chosen solution; recognizes missing information; correctly identifies potential effects of new information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accurately relates concepts and ideas from multiple sources; uses new information to enhance chosen solution; correctly identifies potential effects of new information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inaccurately or incompletely relates concepts and ideas from multiple sources; shallow determination of effect of new information on chosen solution. 	

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

ORAL COMMUNICATION RUBRIC

	Advanced (5 points)	Proficient (3 points)	Beginning (1 points)	Score 5-0
Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ideas are clearly organized, developed, and support a clear purpose. The introduction gets the attention of the audience Main points are clear and organized effectively. The conclusion is satisfying and relates back to introduction. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ideas are organized relative to the purpose but clarity between is not strong and clear. Introduction has the basic mechanics but not engaging. Main points are present but lacking some in clarity or method of organization. Conclusion is appropriate but may not connect to all issues raised. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Main idea is evident, but the organizational structure is weak Ideas may not be clearly developed or flow smoothly. Purpose not clearly stated. Introduction may not be well developed. Transitions may be awkward. Supporting material may lack in development. The conclusion may need additional development. 	
Topic Knowledge	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student has a clear grasp of information. Citations are introduced and attributed appropriately and accurately. Student demonstrates full knowledge of topic. Speaking outline or note cards are used for reference only. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student has a partial grasp of the information. Citations are generally introduced and attributed appropriately. Student is at ease with expected answers to all questions but fails to elaborate. Over dependence on notes may be observed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student has a limited grasp of information. Citations not used properly or too few Has some difficulty answering questions about the subject. Presentation is read directly from note cards. 	
Audience Adaptation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter is able to effectively keep the audience engaged. Material is modified or clarified as needed given audience verbal and nonverbal feedback. Delivery style is modified as needed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter is able to keep the audience engaged most of the time. Generally, the speaker demonstrates audience awareness through nonverbal and verbal behaviors. Some effort to make the material relevant to audience needs and interests. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The presenter is not able to keep the audience engaged. Not aware of audience feedback No noticeable change in delivery based on obvious verbal or nonverbal feedback from the audience. 	
Language Use	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Language is familiar to the audience and appropriate for the setting. The presenter may "code-switch" (use a different language form) when appropriate. Language choices are vivid and precise. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Language is appropriate. W Word choices are not particularly vivid or precise. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Language choices may be limited, peppered with slang or jargon, too complex, or too dull. Language is questionable or inappropriate for a particular audience, occasion, or setting. 	

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

Delivery	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The delivery is natural, confident, and enhances the message - posture, eye contact, smooth gestures, facial expressions, volume, pace, etc. indicate confidence,• The vocal tone and delivery style and clothing are consistent with the message. Articulation and pronunciation are clear.• All audience members can hear the presentation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The delivery generally seems effective – however, effective use of volume, eye contact, vocal control, etc. may not be consistent.• Vocal tone, facial expressions, and clothing and other nonverbal expressions do not detract significantly from the message, generally, articulation and pronunciation are clear.• Most audience members can hear the presentation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The delivery detracts from the message (eye contact may be very limited, presenter may tend to look at the floor, mumble, speak inaudibly, fidget, or read most of the speech.• The delivery may appear inconsistent with the message, articulation and pronunciation tend to be sloppy.• Audience members have difficulty hearing the presentation.• Nonfluencies (“ums, like, etc...”) are used excessively.	
-----------------	---	--	---	--

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

READING TECHNICAL PAPERS RUBRIC

Definition

Reading is "the process of simultaneously extracting and constructing meaning through interaction and involvement with written language" (Snow et al., 2002). (From www.rand.org/pubs/research_briefs/RB8024/index1.html)

	Advanced (5 points)	Proficient (3 points)	Beginning (1 points)	Score 5 - 0
Comprehension	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Recognizes possible implications of the text for contexts, perspectives, or issues beyond the assigned task and/or geographic region. (e.g., might recognize broader issues at play, or might pose challenges to the author's message and presentation).	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Uses the reading to draw more complex inferences about the author's message and attitude.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Uses vocabulary appropriately to paraphrase or summarize the information the text communicates.	
Value of reading contribution <i>In the context of the assignment / course</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Evaluates the scholarly significance and relevance within and beyond the discipline and geographic region.Evaluates according to contributions and consequences.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Uses text in the context of scholarship to develop a foundation of disciplinary knowledge.Raises and explores important questions.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Approaches text in the context of assignments with the intention and expectation of finding right answers and facts and concepts to display for credit.	
Analysis <i>Interacting with data and interpretations in parts and as a whole</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Identifies relations among ideas in the text.Evaluate how ideas support an advanced understanding of the text as a whole.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Recognizes relations among ideas in different parts of a text.Evaluates effective and ineffective arguments.Can explain how these ideas contribute to a basic understanding of the text as a whole.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Identifies aspects of a text as needed to respond to questions posed in assigned tasks.Can outline the analysis used to reach texts conclusions	
Interpretation <i>Making sense with texts as blueprints for meaning</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Articulates an understanding of the multiple interpretive possibilities particular to a text.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Demonstrates that s/he can read purposefully.Can frame the text's interpretation into the purpose of the reading.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Can identify basic purpose(s) for reading.Relies on an external authority for clarification on the applicability of the text.	

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

RESEARCH WRITING RUBRIC

2005. Adapted from California State University (http://www.calstate.edu/LS/1_rubric.doc)

	Advanced (5 points)	Proficient (3 points)	Beginning (1 point)	Score (5-0)
1. Determine the extent of the information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Formulates a research question or topic that is focused, complete and identifies key concepts. Identifies most or all relevant information tools in various potential formats. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Formulates a question that is mostly focused and clear. Identifies concepts related to the topic, and identifies some useful information tools to meet the information need. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Formulates a question that is not focused or clear. Identifies few concepts related to the topic. Identifies some useful information tools to meet the information need. 	
2. Access the needed information effectively	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implements a clear and focused research strategy. Uses information tools effectively. Finds information that directly fulfills the information need. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses an appropriate research strategy. Solves / clarifies problems by finding a variety of relevant information resources. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uses an appropriate research strategy. Student solves problems by finding an appropriate information resource. Information sources may not always be appropriate 	
3. Evaluate information and its sources critically	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Compares and evaluates multiple and diverse sources and viewpoints according to specific criteria appropriate for the discipline. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Examines information using broad criteria such as authority, credibility, relevance, timeliness, and accuracy Makes good judgments about what to keep and what to discard. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student examines information using limited criteria Makes inconsistent decisions about what to keep and what to discard. 	
4. Use information effectively to accomplish a specific purpose	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effectively synthesizes and integrates information from a variety of sources, Draws appropriate conclusions. Clearly communicates ideas to others. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Generally uses appropriate information and evidence from multiple sources to support their claims and conclusions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student uses appropriate (but limited) information and evidence to support their claims and conclusions. 	
5. Use information ethically	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student consistently and accurately cites ideas and information of others. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student usually cites ideas and information of others correctly.³ 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student sometimes cites ideas and information of others correctly. 	

Outline of Geology Department Assessment Plan

INFORMATION TOOLS - Most people think of information literacy as a set of skills requiring technical ability, or more simply, as "doing". True information literacy, however, involves both thinking and doing. Given the ever-expanding sea of information at our disposal, analysis of an information need, knowledge of resource types, evaluation of access tools, and interpretation of results are critical to successful information retrieval. We need to "know-how" but more importantly, we must first "know-why".